arXiv:1408.1954v1 [math.GM] 9 Aug 2014

A NOTE ON THE PRODUCT OF THE CONJUGATES OF A POLYNOMIAL

Michaël Bensimhoun,

Jerusalem, July 2014

Abstract. The theorem proved in this note, although elementary, is related to a certain misconception. If K is a field, $f \in K[X]$ is separable and irreducible over K, and g is a polynomial dividing f, whose coefficients lie in some finite Galois extension of K, it may seem natural to assert that the product of the conjugates of g over $K[X]$ is f. But this assertion is wrong, except in one particular case. In this note, we make the relation between K, f, the product of the conjugates of g, and the coefficient field of g, precise. In particular, it is shown that the product of the conjugates of g over $K[X]$ is equal to f^n, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Key Words: Conjugate, polynomial, product of conjugate polynomials

The aforementioned result, although too elementary to be new, is not so easily found inside common resources: it does not appear in the textbooks we have checked, like [1] or [2], nor does it appear, to our knowledge, inside common resources like *Wikipedia* or *Mathwork*. It is related to a misconception, which sometimes occurs even in the work of experienced mathematicians[1], according to which, the product of the conjugates of a divisor of an irreducible separable polynomial $f \in K[X]$, in an extension of K, is the polynomial f itself. This assertion is wrong in general, but the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. *Let K be a field, and $f \in K[X]$ be separable and irreducible over K. Assume that $g = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots a_\nu X^\nu$ is a polynomial dividing f, whose coefficients a_i lie inside a finite extension of K. Let M be the splitting field of f, $L = K(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_\nu)$, and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(M/K) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(M[X]/K[X])$. Let m denote the number of distinct conjugates of g over $K[X]$, and assume that g^{σ_1}, g^{σ_2}, $\ldots$, g^{σ_m} is an enumeration of these conjugates, with $\sigma_i \in G$ ($m \leq |G|$).*

(i) There holds: $m = [L : K]$;

(ii) If $h = g^{\sigma_1} g^{\sigma_2} \cdots g^{\sigma_m}$, then $h \in K[X]$ and $h = cf^n$, with

$$n = [L : K] \deg(g) \,/\, \deg(f) \quad \textit{and} \quad c \in K.$$

[1] According to a personal communication of Prof. M. Jarden to the author, during the preparation of his thesis.

Proof. (i) If σ and σ' belong to G, then $g^\sigma = g^{\sigma'}$ if and only if $\sigma'\sigma^{-1}$ fixes g; this is possible if and only if $\sigma'\sigma^{-1}$ fixes all the coefficients of g, that is, if $\sigma'\sigma^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Gal}(M/L)$. This can be rephrased as follows: $g^\sigma = g^{\sigma'}$ if and only if $\sigma' \in \mathrm{Gal}(M/L)\sigma$. Hence, each coset of the form $\mathrm{Gal}(M/L)\sigma$, with $\sigma \in G$, corresponds to one and only one conjugate of g over K. There are $|G|/|\mathrm{Gal}(M/L)|$ such cosets, therefore the number of conjugates of g over K is

$$m = |G|/|\mathrm{Gal}(M/L)| = [M : K]/[M : L] = [L : K].$$

(ii) Given $\sigma \in G$, let us consider the polynomial

$$h^\sigma = g^{\sigma_1\sigma} \cdots g^{\sigma_m\sigma}.$$

Since σ is bijective, the elements $g^{\sigma_i\sigma}$ are pairwise distinct whenever the index i varies in $\{1, \dots m\}$. But they are obviously conjugates of g over $K[X]$, hence the elements $g^{\sigma_i\sigma}$ are in fact all the conjugates of g over $K[X]$. In other words, every $\sigma \in G$ fixes the set $\{g^{\sigma_1}, \dots g^{\sigma_m}\}$ of conjugates of g. As a consequence, their product, the polynomial h, is fixed by G. Thus the coefficients of h belong to K: $h \in K[X]$.

Now, since g divides f, it is clear that g^{σ_i} divides $f^{\sigma_i} = f$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Hence h divides f^m. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that h divides f^n in $K[X]$. There exists $h' \in K[X]$ such that $hh' = f^n$. If f would divide h', then f would cancel in both sides of the equation, and h would divide f^{n-1}, contradicting the minimality of n with respect to this property. Thus, f does not divide h'.

The ring $K[X]$ is a unique factorization domain, and f is irreducible in $K[X]$ according to the hypothesis; hence f is prime in $K[X]$. Since f does not divide h' it follows from the above equation that f^n divides h in $K[X]$, and there holds

$$\frac{h}{f^n}h' = 1.$$

As a consequence, both h/f^n and h' must belong to K. Thus, $h = cf^n$, with $c \in K$.

Finally, since $h = cf^n$,

$$\deg(h) = n \deg(f).$$

On the other hand, since $\deg(g_i^\sigma) = \deg(g)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$,

$$\deg(h) = m \deg(g).$$

Combining these equations leads to

$$n = m\frac{\deg(g)}{\deg(f)} = [L : K]\frac{\deg(g)}{\deg(f)}.$$

□

Corollary 2. *With the same hypotheses as in thm. 1, assume furthermore that g is irreducible over L and that f has a primitive root θ (that is, every other root of f belongs to $K(\theta)$). Then $h = f$.*

Proof. We observe that every other root θ' of f has the same degree than θ over K. Since $K(\theta') \subseteq K(\theta)$, it follows that $K(\theta') = K(\theta)$. In other words, every root of f is primitive. So, we can assume w.l.g. that θ is also a root of g.

Now, it is clear that $L \subseteq K(\theta)$, since the roots of g span the coefficients of g, hence

$$[L : K] \deg(g) = [L : K][L(\theta) : L] = [L(\theta) : K] = [K(\theta) : K] = \deg(f).$$

By thm. 1, we conclude that $n = 1$, that is, $h = f$. □

A part of thm. 1 is true in a more general context, as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let R_1 and R_2 be integral domains, with $R_1 \subseteq R_2$, K_1 be the fraction field of R_1, and K_2 be the fraction field of R_2. Assume that θ is a prime element of R_1, and that $\theta' \in R_2$ divides θ in R_2. Assume also that the extension K_2/K_1 is finite and separable, and that $N_{K_1(\theta')/K_1}(R_2) \subseteq R_1$. Let θ'_1, θ'_2,..., θ'_m be the distinct conjugates of θ' over K_1 (with $\theta'_1 = \theta'$), and*

$$\Theta = \theta'_1\theta'_2 \cdots \theta'_m = N_{K_1(\theta')/K_1}(\theta').$$

Then $\Theta = \theta^n u$, where u is unit of R_1 and $n \leq [K_1(\theta') : K_1]$.

Proof. Let us set $L = K_1(\theta')$. Since θ' divides θ in R_2, $\theta = \theta'\nu$, with $\nu \in R_2$. It follows from the well known properties of the norm that

$$N_{L/K_1}(\theta) = \theta^{[L:K_1]} = N_{L/K_1}(\theta')N_{L/K_1}(\nu) = \Theta N_{L/K_1}(\nu).$$

Moreover, $N_{L/K_1}(R_2) \subseteq R_1$, hence Θ divides $\theta^{[L:K]}$ in R_1.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that Θ divides θ^n in R_1: There exists $\Theta' \in R_1$ such that $\Theta\Theta' = \theta^n$. If θ would divide θ', θ would cancel from both sides of the equation, and Θ would divide θ^{n-1}, contradicting the minimality of n with respect to this property. Hence θ does not divide Θ'.

Since θ is prime in R_1, θ^n must divides Θ in R_1, and there holds

$$(\Theta/\theta^n)\Theta' = 1.$$

As a consequence, Θ/θ^n is a unit of R_1, or what is the same,

$$\Theta = \theta^n u, \quad \text{with } u \text{ unit of } R_1.$$

□

References

[1] Serge Lang, *Algebra*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR 1878556 (2003e:00003)

[2] Ian Stewart, *Galois Theory*, third ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC Mathematics, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. MR 2008456 (2004j:12002)